UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,912	09/12/2003	James P. Gardner JR.	74074 - 365307	8343
43546 FAEGRE & BE	7590 10/24/200 ENSON	EXAMINER		
ATTN: PATEN	IT DOCKETING	LEVY, NEIL S		
	FARGO CENTER VENTH STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402-3901	1615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/661,912	GARDNER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NEIL LEVY	1615	

	NEIL LEVY	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. .ED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, is (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed forms.	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); w); ter form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	Barcay, & Hongyu ,under 35 USC owable if submitted in a separate, t	102(b). timely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>30,42 and 43</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-9,12,1519,24-29,41,42,46 &amp; 53</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. □ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will not	be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9.   The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	·	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/NEIL LEVY/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1615						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive, the double patenting rejection holds, & Dykstra/Twydell are obvious to combine, at least as much as it is obvious to utilize 2 insecticides for the same purpose, killing cockroach or ant, & further in that simple testing provides one with the level of each insecticide desirable to attract & not repel, cockroach & ant, in accord with the recent ruling of the 2007 supreme court decision in KSR V TELEFLEX @ 82 USPQ 2d @ 1385

2